

AUDITORS' REPORT TO THE MEMBERS OF INFOSYS TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED

We have audited the attached Balance Sheet of Infosys Technologies Limited ('the Company') as at 31 March 2008, the Profit and Loss Account of the Company and the Cash Flow Statement of the Company for the year ended on that date, annexed thereto. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in India. Those Standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As required by the Companies (Auditor's Report) Order, 2003 ('the Order'), as amended, issued by the Central Government of India in terms of sub-section (4A) of Section 227 of the Companies Act, 1956 ('the Act'), we enclose in the Annexure a statement on the matters specified in paragraphs 4 and 5 of the said Order.

Further to our comments in the Annexure referred to above, we report that:

- (a) we have obtained all the information and explanations which to the best of our knowledge and belief were necessary for the purposes of our audit;
- (b) in our opinion, proper books of account as required by law have been kept by the Company so far as appears from our examination of those books;
- (c) the Balance Sheet, the Profit and Loss Account and the Cash Flow Statement dealt with by this report are in agreement with the books of account;
- (d) in our opinion, the Balance Sheet, the Profit and Loss Account and the Cash Flow Statement dealt with by this report comply with the Accounting Standards referred to in sub-section (3C) of Section 211 of the Act;
- (e) on the basis of written representations received from the directors, as at March 31, 2008, and taken on record by the Board of Directors, we report that none of the directors is disqualified as on March 31, 2008 from being appointed as a director in terms of Section 274(1)(g) of the Act;

- (f) in our opinion and to the best of our information and according to the explanations given to us, the said accounts give the information required by the Act, in the manner so required and give a true and fair view in conformity with the accounting principles generally accepted in India:
- (i) in the case of the Balance Sheet, of the state of affairs of the Company as at 31 March 2008;
 - (ii) in the case of the Profit and Loss Account, of the profit of the Company for the year ended on that date; and
 - (iii) in the case of the Cash Flow Statement, of the cash flows of the Company for the year ended on that date.

for BSR & Co.
Chartered Accountants

Natrajan Ramkrishna
Partner
Membership No: 32815

Bangalore
15 April 2008

ANNEXURE TO THE AUDITORS' REPORT

The Annexure referred to in the auditors' report to the members of Infosys Technologies Limited ('the Company') for the year ended 31 March 2008. We report that:

- (i) (a) The Company has maintained proper records showing full particulars, including quantitative details and situation of fixed assets.
- (b) The Company has a regular programme of physical verification of its fixed assets by which fixed assets are verified in a phased manner over a period of three years. In accordance with this programme, certain fixed assets were verified during the year and no material discrepancies were noticed on such verification. In our opinion, this periodicity of physical verification is reasonable having regard to the size of the Company and the nature of its assets.
- (c) Fixed assets disposed off during the year were not substantial, and therefore, do not affect the going concern assumption.
- (ii) The Company is a service company, primarily rendering information technology services. Accordingly it does not hold any physical inventories. Thus, paragraph 4(ii) of the Order is not applicable.
- (iii) (a) The Company has granted a loan to a body corporate covered in the register maintained under Section 301 of the Companies Act, 1956. The maximum amount outstanding during the year and the year-end balance of such loan amounted to Rs 320,160,000.
- (b) In our opinion, the rate of interest and other terms and conditions on which the loan has been granted to the body corporate listed in the register maintained under Section 301 of the Companies Act, 1956 are not, prima facie, prejudicial to the interest of the Company.
- (c) In the case of loan granted to the body corporate listed in the register maintained under Section 301, the borrowers have been regular in repaying the interest as stipulated. The terms of arrangement do not stipulate any repayment schedule and are repayable on demand. Accordingly, paragraph 4 (iii)(c) of the Order is not applicable to the Company in respect of repayment of the principal amount.
- (d) There are no overdue amounts of more than rupees one lakh in respect of the loan granted to a body corporate listed in the register maintained under Section 301 of the Companies Act, 1956.
- (e) The Company has not taken any loans, secured or unsecured from companies, firms or other parties covered in the register maintained under Section 301 of the Act. Accordingly, paragraph 4 (iii) (e) to 4 (iii) (g) of the Order is not applicable.
- (iv) In our opinion and according to the information and explanations given to us, there is an adequate internal control system commensurate with the size of the Company and the nature of its business with regard to purchase of fixed assets and sale of services. The activities of the Company do not involve purchase of inventory and the sale of goods. We have not observed any major weakness in the internal control system during the course of the audit.
- (v) (a) In our opinion and according to the information and explanations given to us, the particulars of contracts or arrangements referred to in Section 301 of the Companies Act, 1956 have been entered in the register required to be maintained under that section.
- (b) In our opinion, and according to the information and explanations given to us, the transactions made in pursuance of contracts and arrangements referred to in (v) (a) above and

exceeding the value of Rs 5 lakh with any party during the year have been made at prices which are reasonable having regard to the prevailing market prices at the relevant time.

- (vi) The Company has not accepted any deposits from the public. Accordingly, paragraph 4(vi) of the Order is not applicable.
- (vii) In our opinion, the Company has an internal audit system commensurate with the size of the Company and the nature of its business.
- (viii) The Central Government has not prescribed the maintenance of cost records under Section 209(1) (d) of the Companies Act, 1956 for any of the services rendered by the Company. Accordingly, paragraph 4(viii) of the Order is not applicable.
- (ix) (a) According to the information and explanations given to us and on the basis of our examination of the records of the Company, amounts deducted/ accrued in the books of account in respect of undisputed statutory dues including Provident Fund, Investor Education and Protection Fund, Income-tax, Sales-tax, Wealth tax, Service tax and other material statutory dues have generally been regularly deposited during the year by the Company with the appropriate authorities. As explained to us, the Company did not have any dues on account of Employees' State Insurance, Customs duty and Excise duty.

There were no dues on account of cess under Section 441A of the Companies Act, 1956 since the aforesaid section has not yet been made effective by the Central Government.

According to the information and explanations given to us, no undisputed amounts payable in respect of Provident Fund, Investor Education and Protection Fund, Income-tax, Sales-tax, Wealth tax, Service tax and other material statutory dues were in arrears as at 31 March 2008 for a period of more than six months from the date they became payable.

- (b) According to the information and explanations given to us, the following due has not been deposited by the Company on account of dispute:

Name of the Statute	Nature of the dues	Amount* (Rs in lakhs.)	Period to which the amount relates	Forum where dispute is pending
Central sales tax, 1956	Additional sales tax on implementation charges and expense reimbursement towards Finacle.	67.70	Financial year 2006-07	Appellate Deputy Commissioner (CT), Hyderabad
* Net of amount paid under protest				

In addition, according to the information and explanations given to us, there are no dues of Income tax, Wealth tax, Service tax and cess which have not been deposited with the appropriate authorities on account of any dispute.

- (x) The Company does not have any accumulated losses at the end of the financial year and has not incurred cash losses in the financial year and in the immediately preceding financial year. Accordingly, paragraph 4(x) of the Order is not applicable.
- (xi) The Company did not have any outstanding dues to any financial institution, banks or debentureholders during the year. Accordingly, paragraph 4(xi) of the Order is not applicable.

- (xii) The Company has not granted any loans and advances on the basis of security by way of pledge of shares, debentures and other securities. Accordingly, paragraph 4(xii) of the Order is not applicable.
- (xiii) In our opinion and according to the information and explanations given to us, the Company is not a chit fund/ nidhi/ mutual benefit fund/ society. Accordingly, paragraph 4(xiii) of the Order is not applicable.
- (xiv) According to the information and explanations given to us, the Company is not dealing or trading in shares, securities, debentures and other investments. Accordingly, paragraph 4(xiv) of the Order is not applicable.
- (xv) According to the information and explanations given to us, the Company has not given any guarantee for loans taken by others from banks or financial institutions. Accordingly, paragraph 4(xv) of the Order is not applicable.
- (xvi) The Company did not have any term loans outstanding during the year. Accordingly, paragraph 4(xvi) of the Order is not applicable.
- (xvii) The Company has not raised any funds on short-term basis. Accordingly, paragraph 4(xvii) of the Order is not applicable.
- (xviii) The Company has not made any preferential allotment of shares to parties and companies covered in the Register maintained under section 301 of the Companies Act, 1956. Accordingly, paragraph 4(xviii) of the Order is not applicable.
- (xix) The Company did not have any outstanding debentures during the year. Accordingly, paragraph 4(xix) of the Order is not applicable.
- (xx) The Company has not raised any money by public issues during the year. Accordingly, paragraph 4(xx) of the Order is not applicable.
- (xxi) According to the information and explanations given to us, no fraud on or by the Company has been noticed or reported during the course of our audit.

for BSR & Co.
Chartered Accountants

Natrajan Ramkrishna
Partner
Membership No: 32815

Bangalore
15 April 2008