

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF INFOSYS LIMITED

Report on the Audit of Interim Consolidated Financial Statements

Opinion

We have audited the accompanying interim consolidated financial statements of Infosys Limited (“the Company”) and its subsidiaries (the Company and its subsidiaries together referred to as “the Group”), which comprise the Consolidated Balance Sheet as at June 30, 2018, the Consolidated Statement of Profit and Loss (including Other Comprehensive Income), the Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity and the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the three months period ended on that date, and a summary of the significant accounting policies and other explanatory information (hereinafter referred to as “the interim consolidated financial statements”).

In our opinion and to the best of our information and according to the explanations given to us, the aforesaid interim consolidated financial statements give a true and fair view in conformity with Indian Accounting Standard 34 “Interim Financial Reporting” (“Ind AS 34”) and other accounting principles generally accepted in India, of the consolidated state of affairs of the Group as at June 30, 2018, the consolidated profit, consolidated total comprehensive income, consolidated changes in equity and its consolidated cash flows for the three months period ended on that date.

Basis for Opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with the Standards on Auditing (SAs) specified under section 143(10) of the Companies Act, 2013. Our responsibilities under those Standards are further described in the *Auditor’s Responsibilities for the Audit of the Interim Consolidated Financial Statements* section of our report. We are independent of the Group in accordance with the *Code of Ethics* issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India, and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Key Audit Matters

Key audit matters are those matters that, in our professional judgment, were of most significance in our audit of the financial statements of the current period. These matters were addressed in the context of our audit of the interim consolidated financial statements as a whole, and in forming our opinion thereon, and we do not provide a separate opinion on these matters.

KEY AUDIT MATTER	RESPONSE TO KEY AUDIT MATTER
<p data-bbox="201 230 735 421"><i>Accuracy of recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosures of revenues and other related balances in view of adoption of Ind AS 115 “Revenue from Contracts with Customers” (new revenue accounting standard)</i></p> <p data-bbox="201 454 735 869">The application of the new revenue accounting standard involves certain key judgements relating to identification of distinct performance obligations, determination of transaction price of the identified performance obligations, the appropriateness of the basis used to measure revenue recognized over a period. Additionally, new revenue accounting standard contains disclosures which involves collation of information in respect of disaggregated revenue and periods over which the remaining performance obligations will be satisfied subsequent to the balance sheet date.</p> <p data-bbox="201 902 735 965">Refer Notes 1.5a and 2.16 to the Interim Consolidated Financial Statements.</p>	<p data-bbox="759 230 1078 264"><u>Principal Audit Procedures</u></p> <p data-bbox="759 264 1404 327">We assessed the Group’s process to identify the impact of adoption of the new revenue accounting standard.</p> <p data-bbox="759 327 1404 421">Our audit approach consisted testing of the design and operating effectiveness of the internal controls and substantive testing as follows:</p> <ul data-bbox="759 432 1404 2011" style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="759 432 1404 526">• Evaluated the design of internal controls relating to implementation of the new revenue accounting standard. <li data-bbox="759 526 1404 786">• Selected a sample of continuing and new contracts, and tested the operating effectiveness of the internal control, relating to identification of the distinct performance obligations and determination of transaction price. We carried out a combination of procedures involving enquiry and observation, reperformance and inspection of evidence in respect of operation of these controls. <li data-bbox="759 786 1404 947">• Tested the relevant information technology systems’ access and change management controls relating to contracts and related information used in recording and disclosing revenue in accordance with the new revenue accounting standard. <li data-bbox="759 947 1404 1686">• Selected a sample of continuing and new contracts and performed the following procedures: <ul data-bbox="799 1014 1404 1686" style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="799 1014 1404 1077">• Read, analysed and identified the distinct performance obligations in these contracts. <li data-bbox="799 1077 1404 1140">• Compared these performance obligations with that identified and recorded by the Group. <li data-bbox="799 1140 1404 1301">• Considered the terms of the contracts to determine the transaction price including any variable consideration to verify the transaction price used to compute revenue and to test the basis of estimation of the variable consideration. <li data-bbox="799 1301 1404 1462">• Samples in respect of revenue recorded for time and material contracts were tested using a combination of approved time sheets including customer acceptances, subsequent invoicing and historical trend of collections and disputes. <li data-bbox="799 1462 1404 1686">• In respect of samples relating to fixed price contracts, progress towards satisfaction of performance obligation used to compute recorded revenue was verified with actual and estimated efforts from the time recording and budgeting systems. We also tested the access and change management controls relating to these systems. <li data-bbox="759 1686 1404 1780">• Sample of revenues disaggregated by type and service offerings was tested with the performance obligations specified in the underlying contracts. <li data-bbox="759 1780 1404 1843">• Performed analytical procedures for reasonableness of revenues disclosed by type and service offerings. <li data-bbox="759 1843 1404 2011">• We reviewed the collation of information and the logic of the report generated from the budgeting system used to prepare the disclosure relating to the periods over which the remaining performance obligations will be satisfied subsequent to the balance sheet date. <p data-bbox="759 2022 895 2056"><u>Conclusion</u></p> <p data-bbox="759 2056 1390 2078">Our procedures did not identify any material exceptions.</p>

KEY AUDIT MATTER	RESPONSE TO KEY AUDIT MATTER
<p data-bbox="204 259 732 353"><i>Accuracy of revenues and onerous obligations in respect of fixed price contracts involves critical estimates</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 389 732 611">Estimated effort is a critical estimate to determine revenues and liability for onerous obligations. This estimate has a high inherent uncertainty as it requires consideration of progress of the contract, efforts incurred till date, efforts required to complete the remaining contract performance obligations.</p> <p data-bbox="204 678 732 741">Refer Notes 1.5a and 2.16 to the Interim Consolidated Financial Statements.</p>	<p data-bbox="754 259 1075 293"><u>Principal Audit Procedures</u></p> <p data-bbox="754 327 1417 421">Our audit approach was a combination of test of internal controls and substantive procedures which included the following:</p> <ul data-bbox="754 427 1417 1171" style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="754 427 1417 521">• Evaluated the design of internal controls relating to recording of efforts incurred and estimation of efforts required to complete the performance obligations. <li data-bbox="754 528 1417 651">• Tested the access and application controls pertaining to time recording, allocation and budgeting systems which prevents unauthorised changes to recording of efforts incurred. <li data-bbox="754 658 1417 781">• Selected a sample of contracts and tested the operating effectiveness of the internal controls relating to efforts incurred and estimated through inspection of evidence of performance of these controls. <li data-bbox="754 788 1417 972">• Selected a sample of contracts and performed a retrospective review of efforts incurred with estimated efforts to identify significant variations and verify whether those variations have been considered in estimating the remaining efforts to complete the contract. <li data-bbox="754 978 1417 1102">• Reviewed a sample of contracts with unbilled revenues to identify possible delays in achieving milestones, which require change in estimated efforts to complete the remaining performance obligations. <li data-bbox="754 1108 1417 1171">• Performed analytical procedures and test of details for reasonableness of incurred and estimated efforts. <p data-bbox="754 1205 892 1238"><u>Conclusion</u></p> <p data-bbox="754 1238 1394 1272">Our procedures did not identify any material exceptions.</p>
<p data-bbox="204 1305 639 1339"><i>Evaluation of uncertain tax positions</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1373 732 1496">The Group has material uncertain tax positions including matters under dispute which involves significant judgment to determine the possible outcome of these disputes.</p> <p data-bbox="204 1529 732 1592">Refer Notes 1.5b and 2.22 to the Interim Consolidated Financial Statements.</p>	<p data-bbox="754 1305 1075 1339"><u>Principal Audit Procedures</u></p> <p data-bbox="754 1373 1353 1406">We performed the following substantive procedures:</p> <ul data-bbox="754 1413 1417 1821" style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="754 1413 1417 1821">• Obtained details of completed tax assessments and demands for the quarter ended June 30, 2018 from management. We involved our internal experts to challenge the management’s underlying assumptions in estimating the tax provision and the possible outcome of the disputes. Our internal experts also considered legal precedence and other rulings in evaluating management’s position on these uncertain tax positions. Additionally, we considered the effect of new information in respect of uncertain tax positions as at April 1, 2018 to evaluate whether any change was required to management’s position on these uncertainties. <p data-bbox="754 1854 892 1888"><u>Conclusion</u></p> <p data-bbox="754 1888 1225 1921">We agree with management’s evaluation.</p>

KEY AUDIT MATTER	RESPONSE TO KEY AUDIT MATTER
<p><i>Reasonableness of carrying amount of assets held for sale</i></p> <p>Assets held for sale carried at fair value have been estimated using significant unobservable inputs including non-binding offers from and negotiations held with prospective buyers as a result of which fair value is sensitive to changes in input assumptions.</p> <p>Refer Notes 1.5f and 2.1.2 to the Interim Consolidated Financial Statements.</p>	<p><u>Principal Audit Procedures</u></p> <p>Our audit procedures consisted of challenging management’s assumptions relating to business projections and expectation of outcome of negotiations with prospective buyers. We have also considered the valuation performed by an external valuer.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u></p> <p>The assumptions and inputs have been appropriately considered in estimating the fair value.</p>

Responsibilities of the Management and Those Charged with Governance for the Interim Consolidated Financial Statements

The Company’s Board of Directors is responsible for the preparation and presentation of these interim consolidated financial statements that give a true and fair view of the consolidated financial position, consolidated financial performance, consolidated total comprehensive income, consolidated changes in equity and consolidated cash flows of the Group in accordance with Ind AS 34 prescribed under Section 133 of the Companies Act, 2013 (“the Act”), read with relevant rules issued thereunder and other accounting principles generally accepted in India. The respective Board of Directors of the companies included in the Group are responsible for maintenance of the adequate accounting records in accordance with the provisions of the Act for safeguarding the assets of the Group and for preventing and detecting frauds and other irregularities; selection and application of appropriate accounting policies; making judgments and estimates that are reasonable and prudent; and design, implementation and maintenance of adequate internal financial controls, that were operating effectively for ensuring the accuracy and completeness of the accounting records, relevant to the preparation and presentation of the interim consolidated financial statements that give a true and fair view and are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, which have been used for the purpose of preparation of the interim consolidated financial statements by the Board of Directors of the Company, as aforesaid.

In preparing the interim consolidated financial statements, the respective Board of Directors of the companies included in the Group are responsible for assessing the ability of the Group to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless management either intends to liquidate the Group or to cease operations, or has no realistic alternative but to do so.

The respective Board of Directors of the companies included in the Group are also responsible for overseeing the financial reporting process of the Group.

Auditor’s Responsibilities for the Audit of the Interim Consolidated Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the interim consolidated financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor’s report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with SAs will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these interim consolidated financial statements.

As part of an audit in accordance with SAs, we exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit. We also:

- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the interim consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control.
- Obtain an understanding of internal controls relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on whether the Company has in place an adequate internal financial controls system over financial reporting and the operating effectiveness of such controls.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by management.
- Conclude on the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern basis of accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the ability of the Group to continue as a going concern. If we conclude that a material uncertainty exists, we are required to draw attention in our auditor's report to the related disclosures in the interim consolidated financial statements or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify our opinion. Our conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of our auditor's report. However, future events or conditions may cause the Group to cease to continue as a going concern.
- Evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the interim consolidated financial statements, including the disclosures, and whether the interim consolidated financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.
- Obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the financial information of the entities or business activities within the Group to express an opinion on the interim consolidated financial statements. We are responsible for the direction, supervision and performance of the audit of the financial statements of such entities included in the interim consolidated financial statements.

Materiality is the magnitude of misstatements in the interim consolidated financial statements that, individually or in aggregate, makes it probable that the economic decisions of a reasonably knowledgeable user of the financial statements may be influenced. We consider quantitative materiality and qualitative factors in (i) planning the scope of our audit work and in evaluating the results of our work; and (ii) to evaluate the effect of any identified misstatements in the financial statements.

Based on our professional judgment, we determined materiality for the financial statements as a whole at Rs. 250 crores. The basis for determining materiality was 5% of profits before tax. Profits before tax was used as a benchmark for materiality because it is one of the main measures used by users of financial statements to monitor the performance of the Group.

We communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that we identify during our audit.

We also provide those charged with governance with a statement that we have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence, and to communicate with them all relationships and other matters that may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence, and where applicable, related safeguards.

From the matters communicated with those charged with governance, we determine those matters that were of most significance in the audit of the interim consolidated financial statements of the current period and are therefore the key audit matters. We describe these matters in our auditor's report unless law or regulation precludes public disclosure about the matter or when, in extremely rare circumstances, we determine that a matter should not be communicated in our report because the adverse consequences of doing so would reasonably be expected to outweigh the public interest benefits of such communication.

For Deloitte Haskins & Sells LLP
Chartered Accountants
(Firm's Registration No. 117366W/W-100018)

Bengaluru, July 13, 2018

P. R. RAMESH
(Membership No. 70928)