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Introduction

DevOps culture is gaining rapid momentum in the IT industry as it enables business to adopt agile software delivery methodologies like Continuous Integration (henceforth referred to as CI) & Continuous Delivery (henceforth referred to as CD). These methodologies enable quicker issue resolution, instant feedback loops, improved software quality and cost saving to meet the ever-increasing demand to deliver better software faster. It would not be wrong to say that CI-CD practices will soon become the de-facto software delivery standards across the industry.

Though both these methodologies (CI and CD) complement each other, CI is the pre-requisite phase for enabling CD as the latter is built on top of the former. The primary goal of CI is not only to enable build automation through continuous test and quality checks but also to provide project insights through reports and dashboards. Since this phase is all about tools, it imposes various integration challenges. Having a good knowledge of the tools involved, their integration aspects and the best practices to follow, will definitely enable their smoother adoption and rollout across enterprises looking to adopt CI practices.

This article compiles insights gained from our practical experiences across various CI enablement programs which we have been associated with.

Some of these experiences might vary depending on the choice of tools, infrastructure setup, organization policies and project requirements. In spite of the differences, we hope, this article will act as a helping guide to all those planning for CI setup in their projects.

This article is organized into different sections as below –

Section 1 shows the CI setup which we have been using.  
Section 2 talks about the various tools being used to achieve CI.  
Section 3 discusses the challenges faced and the solution approaches we used.  
Section 4 includes some of the best practices that can be adopted for CI  
Section 5 enumerates some of the benefits obtained from CI  
Section 6 shows the deployment topology for the CI setup  
And we finally summarize our findings in Section 7.
Continuous Integration Setup

The below diagram illustrates the end to end Continuous Integration (CI) setup which we have been following across projects. As seen below, the main actors include the Development team, the Source Control Server and the Continuous Integration server. Developers check-in the code into source control server which is integrated with CI server. For each build, CI server is configured to run the JUnit test cases, Selenium based functional test cases, code quality checks and provide notifications for any failure scenario which enables the development team to take immediate action. This continuous automation chain helps in reducing the overall defect density and thereby improving the code quality.

Tools Adoption

CI depends mostly on adopting the correct set of tools and their proper usage. The selection of tools is generally driven by various IT policies in the organization, existing technology landscape, current infrastructure setup, and other considerations. It is therefore recommended that every organization must do proper due diligence in evaluating different toolsets and choosing the appropriate ones suitable for their requirements.

The diagram below shows the toolsets (phase wise) which we have been using successfully across various projects for CI enablement. As seen, we have adopted Jenkins as the continuous integration platform but there are other CI platforms (Bamboo, TeamCity etc.) to choose from. The same case holds good for other toolsets also.
We faced a few challenges during our CI journey and some of the major challenges encountered are explained below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenges Faced</th>
<th>Solution Approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Providing Granular Level Access in Jenkins</td>
<td>Providing individual level access was a redundant and time consuming task. We came up with the role based access solution approach. This approach helped to provide access to roles rather than individual level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular Build Failures</td>
<td>Most common issue faced. Jobs were failing because of perm gen space issue. Memory consumption on slave nodes was high due to Jenkins job workspace and generated artifacts. To solve this issue, cron scripts were created to clean up the job workspace and artifacts once a week, in order to release the memory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Builds Waiting for Node Executor</td>
<td>Due to node dependency, projects were waiting in the queue even if idle executors were available on other nodes. Builds were waiting for executor due to heavy build load. Even Scheduler plugin was used to ensure that load distribution was happening evenly across all nodes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIT Repository Integration</td>
<td>Issue while creating a trusted link between GIT and Jenkins server. Trust was created between GIT and Jenkins using public and private keys of Jenkins server.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Best Practices Followed

After having worked on multiple CI enablement projects we have condensed together the set of best practices which we have learnt/followed. Most of these practices have been standardized and published as CI guidelines for internal usage across teams. In this section we highlight some of the best practices which we follow and would like to share with others.

Benefits Realized

Following are some of the benefits which we have realized by CI enablement across projects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Build Automation</th>
<th>Code Stability</th>
<th>Analytics</th>
<th>CD Enablement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Builds were automated by using the Poll SCM feature of Jenkins. This not only enabled faster builds but also helped to eliminate manual build effort</td>
<td>Notification feature enabled development team to respond quickly to the build failure scenarios. This helped in quicker resolution of code issues and ultimately led to stable code base</td>
<td>Sonar dashboard provided centralized view of code quality issues and dashboards created leveraging Jenkins APIs, provided complete build information. This enabled project teams to take timely corrective steps</td>
<td>Workflow configuration on Jenkins enabled auto deployment of application artifacts (EAR/WAR files) on the required application server whenever a new snapshot version of the artifact was available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faster Releases</th>
<th>Cost Savings</th>
<th>Improved Productivity</th>
<th>Improved Code Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CI enabled faster release cycles with stable code</td>
<td>Many projects cut down on the cost to maintain separate build teams after build automation. This resulted into significant cost savings for them</td>
<td>CI-enablement helped increase development team productivity by relieving them from build related aspects with complete focus on their core development activities</td>
<td>CI-enablement helped increase development team productivity by relieving them from build related aspects with complete focus on their core development activities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The above mentioned quantitative improvements are the average % improvements which have been observed across various projects.
Below is the high-level topology of the CI platform which we have been using. The key benefits of this topology are high availability and scalability. To achieve high scalability and distributed build system we have set up dedicated build nodes that run separately from the Jenkins master. This frees up resources for the master server to improve its scheduling performance.

Moreover, executing jobs on the master’s executors can introduce a security issue. Any Jenkins’s user with full permissions can play havoc with the system as they will have direct access to private information whose integrity and privacy could not be, thus, guaranteed. We leveraged the Jenkins supported “master/slave” mode, where the workload of building projects is delegated to multiple slave nodes.

If you notice we have enabled Jenkins master to only handle HTTP requests and manage the build environment. Actual execution of builds will be delegated to the slaves. With this configuration it is possible to horizontally scale the architecture.

Fig 2. Continuous integration topology view
## Component Key Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Key Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Master and Backup Server</td>
<td>To avoid a single point of failure and support high availability we have the master slave configuration setup. In case the master goes down, a slave node can provide the continuity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NAS Storage</td>
<td>Responsible for sharing $JENKINS_HOME directory for all Jenkins Master VMs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Jenkins Slave Nodes</td>
<td>A slave node is a machine set up to offload build projects from the master node. Actual execution of the build happens on the slave node. The method for scheduling builds depends on the configuration given to a specific project. Some projects may be configured to only use a specific slave node while others can freely pick up a slave nodes from among the pool of slave nodes assigned to the master</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Jenkins Executors</td>
<td>Responsible for concurrent builds on slave nodes. If a slave node consists of 4 executors, concurrently 4 jobs can be built on that node</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Reverse Proxy Server</td>
<td>Responsible to ping all nodes in the cluster via a health check URL and uses their return codes to determine which node is the primary/active master</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Conclusion

We believe that Continuous Integration is at the core of DevOps and needs proper planning. Since it is all about tools and their integration aspects, making appropriate choices based on various considerations is very important. Building good expertise on the tools involved, their integration aspects and other best practices, helps, avoid common pitfalls and helps speed up CI adoption.

In spite of knowing and following all the best practices, there will always be some or other challenges faced during CI adoption but the final benefits realized far outweigh these initial hiccups. We hope this article might have helped you in some way or the other and we would love to hear from you.
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