Zero-Knowledge Proofs in Blockchain

Abstract

The application of blockchain across the industries is limited to some degree by data privacy concerns. While it eliminates the need for trusted third parties, information shared on the blockchain is exposed to all members within the network. This paper examines how zero-knowledge proofs, an upcoming technique, can address issues around sharing excessive information on blockchain networks. It looks at different techniques of zero-knowledge proofs, describes how information is shielded, and discusses some use cases such as personal data protection.
Zero-knowledge Proofs

Zero-knowledge proofs can be understood as a construct or a protocol through which a ‘prover’ can present proof to a ‘verifier’ that the prover knows a ‘secret’, without revealing any information about the secret. The verifier, upon examining the presented proof, will be convinced that the prover indeed knows the secret without learning anything else (zero-knowledge) about the secret. A failsafe here is that the verifier cannot present the same proof to someone else (replay) and convince them about the knowing the secret.

Zero-knowledge proofs must satisfy the following conditions:

- **Completeness** – If the prover’s claim is true, an honest verifier who is following the protocol correctly will be convinced that the claim is true
- **Soundness** – If the prover’s claim is false, the protocol makes it extremely difficult for a prover to convince an honest verifier
- **Zero knowledge** – The protocol will not leak any information about the secret. The verifier learns nothing except that the claim is true.

There are two categories of zero-knowledge proofs:

1. **Interactive** – Protocols are defined through which the verifier can send one or more challenges to the prover and evaluate the responses to convince themselves that the prover’s claim about knowing a secret is correct. During the interactions between the prover and the verifier to execute the protocol, no information about the actual secret is revealed.

2. **Non-interactive** – There is no interaction required between the prover and the verifier in this category of zero-knowledge proofs. The prover creates a cryptographic proof of their claim that can be instantly authenticated by the verifier. Since non-interactive ZKP protocols do not require multiple rounds of information exchange between prover and verifier, these are more efficient and enable seamless workflows when compared to interactive ZKP.

Zero-knowledge proof was first put forth as a concept by Shafi Goldwasser, Silvio Micali, and Charles Rackoff in 1985. They published a paper titled ‘The Knowledge Complexity of Interactive Proof-Systems’. The concept was then further developed by the research community. With recent advancements in cryptography, it is possible to create non-interactive zero-knowledge proofs that can be deployed over distributed systems such as blockchain.

Application of ZKP in Blockchain

Blockchain is an immutable, append-only ledger. Therefore, the size and growth of the ledger is an important consideration for blockchains that aim to run for several years or store a large number of transactions. Further, the computations associated with transaction verification must be deterministic and produce the same result when carried out by different validators in the blockchain network. This places the following requirements on zero-knowledge proofs that can be used in blockchain:

- **Compact** – To address the ledger size considerations described above, the zero-knowledge proofs should be short and take minimum space in the ledger
- **Self-contained** – The zero-knowledge proof should contain all the necessary information required to verify it. There should be no dependency on external information sources. Validators may receive different inputs if external sources are used which may lead to inconsistent verification results on different validators.

Zero-knowledge Succinct Non-Interactive Argument of Knowledge or zk-SNARK is a type of non-interactive zero-knowledge proof that addresses the above requirements. With zk-SNARK, the cryptographic proofs created over a large data set are relatively small and require only a few hundred bytes. These can be quickly verified without intensive computation or any inputs from an external entity. Thus, zk-SNARK is the preferred method of implementing zero-knowledge proof applications on blockchain.
Use Cases of Zero-knowledge Proofs

1. Shielded transactions

Shielded transactions encrypt transaction data and the identities of transacting parties, thereby providing complete privacy. Using zero-knowledge proofs, shielded transactions can be verified for correctness and whether these comply with the specific rules of the blockchain. This not only ensures transaction privacy but also helps the blockchain network operate with the intended decentralization.

Zcash is one of the first blockchains to employ zk-SNARK for shielded transactions. It provides a good case study of the use of zero-knowledge proofs for transaction privacy in a public blockchain.

Zcash implements a mechanism similar to Bitcoin’s unspent transaction output (UTXO) model to track transfers and prevent double spends. To that end, it defines two constructs—commitments and nullifiers:

- Commitments are notes that record the transfer of coins from one address to another. It uses the unspent outputs of some earlier commitments as inputs.
- Nullifiers are notes that mark a commitment as ‘spent’ so that its output cannot be used for creating a fresh commitment.

For privacy, both commitments and nullifiers are hashed before being published to the blockchain. At initialization, a special set of keys called ‘proving keys’ and ‘verifying keys’ are generated. To perform a transfer, the sender uses their proving key to create a shielded transaction that contains the zero-knowledge proof over the following conditions:

- For each input for the transfer, an unspent commitment exists
- The amount from all input commitments must add up to the output amount
- The sender has private keys to spend the input commitments
- The nullifiers and commitments are correctly computed
- The transaction has not been modified by anyone else

Miners in Zcash then use the verifying key to check that the sender has been able to prove all of the above conditions before committing the transaction. Everyone on the Zcash blockchain can view the transaction and verify it. However, they have no visibility into the parties involved in the transfer or the amount being transferred.

2. Zero-knowledge rollups

Rollups are an off-chain or Layer-2 solution, where the main blockchain is Layer 1. Rollups are used to scale up the performance of blockchain networks, particularly public blockchains such as Ethereum. For zero-knowledge rollups or ‘zk-rollups’, instead of submitting user transactions directly to the blockchain, transactions are processed by an off-chain system first. Post processing, several of these transactions are compressed and bundled into a single, rolled-up transaction along with a zero knowledge proof that the included transactions are valid. This zk-rollup transaction is then submitted to a smart contract running on the blockchain that verifies the zero-knowledge proof and then applies the changes without having to verify the separate user transactions individually.

In addition to improving scalability, zk-rollups also save on transaction costs. In Ethereum, users are required to pay a processing fee called ‘gas’ for every transaction they submit to the network. Zk-rollups reduce the gas requirement since gas is now paid only for the rollup transaction rather than for the hundreds of individual transactions bundled within the rollup.

Rollup solutions can also be implemented without using zero-knowledge proof. These are called optimistic rollups where the rollups do not carry the proof of validity of the included user transactions. While zk-rollups are more complex to construct compared to optimistic rollups, they offer the advantage of being instantly verifiable using the included zero-knowledge proof. It results in faster finalization. Optimistic transactions, on the other hand, take a lot longer (up to a week) to finalize on Ethereum.
3. Anonymous credentials

Enterprises typically use private or permissioned blockchains or DLTs that are designed to permit only a set of identified parties as members of the network. Establishing and verifying the identities of member organizations and their users is a prerequisite before authorizing them to access the network and transact on it. Typically, this is achieved through a public key infrastructure (PKI) scheme. Here, a certificate authority (CA) issues a digitally signed identity certificate to each user in a standard format such as X.509. Similar to an ID card, the X.509 certificate contains a set of identity attributes such as name, address, public key, etc., of the holder. These attributes are used to establish the identity of the holder when the certificate is presented to someone.

The challenge with this scheme is that when the identity credential is used to sign blockchain transactions, anyone on the network can view the associated identity attributes. They can also correlate multiple transactions that are signed using the same credential and get further insights into the holder’s business. In this scenario, it is useful to have a privacy-preserving identity scheme that enables user authentication and transaction verification as per blockchain consensus rules without revealing the identity of the parties involved.

Hyperledger Fabric implements such a feature using the Identity Mixer (Idemix) technology that was developed by IBM. Idemix enables users to generate zero knowledge proof of ownership of CA issued identity credential and the corresponding secret key. The Idemix ZKP credentials have the following properties:

- The holder of the identity credential (issued by the certificate authority) can generate multiple pseudonymous ZKP credentials from the original identity credential. The holder can then use a different ZKP credential every time they need to sign a blockchain transaction, thereby making it very hard for anyone (including the certificate authority) to correlate transactions to a single signer.
- The holder can choose the attributes they want to include in the ZKP credential. These attributes can be verified without revealing anything about the attributes that have not been disclosed.
- A verifier can easily verify the ZKP credential using the public key of the certificate authority that originally signed the identity credential.

Idemix uses a blind signature scheme developed by Camenisch and Lysyanskaya, appropriately called the CL Signature protocol. It allows an issuer to efficiently sign multiple messages without knowing the contents of those messages. Additionally, the holder can produce zero-knowledge proof of possession of these signed messages without revealing the issuer’s signatures.

Implementations of Idemix in Hyperledger Fabric is a work in progress and has a few limitations. For instance, only a fixed set of attributes are currently supported for creating zero-knowledge proofs out of the identity credentials. Further, credential revocation is not available.
4. Personal data protection

In systems dealing with personal identities, privacy considerations require that minimum amount of information, enough to satisfy the verification rules, should be disclosed. This is also one of the mandates of privacy laws enforced in many countries to safeguard personal information.

In a typical identity verification process, the prover presents one or more credentials containing several identity attributes to the verifier in order to authenticate their identity. This, however, reveals more than the minimum amount of necessary information and can lead to privacy risks.

Hyperledger Indy is a blockchain platform that is built specifically for identity applications. It addresses this challenge through a solution called Anoncreds that is based on zero-knowledge proof.

Indy Anoncreds leverages the Idemix technology, which is also used in Hyperledger Fabric, to enhance personal privacy by providing the following capabilities:

- **Selective disclosure** – If the identity credentials contain more than the required attributes, the prover can choose to disclose only those attributes that are necessary while hiding the rest. Presented as a zero-knowledge proof, the verifier can verify the needed attributes without gaining any visibility into the hidden ones.

- **Proving predicates** – Consider two cases: A government welfare scheme where a beneficiary’s income must be below a specified limit and a national law that prohibits people below a minimum age from purchasing liquor. In both these cases, the actual value of the attribute, namely, income and age, is not required if it can be proved that the attribute satisfies the predicates, i.e., the income is less than the cut-off limit in the first case and the age is greater than the minimum in the second. Indy Anoncreds helps construct zero-knowledge proofs to prove such predicates without revealing the actual value of the attributes.

Infosys Identity Management Solution is built on Hyperledger Indy and uses Anoncreds to address privacy requirements across multiple use cases such as know-your-customer (KYC) verification, academic credential management, professional accreditation, and more.
Current State and the Future

In its current state, zero-knowledge proof is still a nascent technology that is undergoing active research. There is some buzz around it, particularly within the blockchain community. But awareness and adoption across a wider economic audience is limited.

Higher adoption of zero-knowledge proofs will require better awareness of the technology as well as standardization of implementation models, interfaces, and tools. This will help create interoperable solutions that can be leveraged on bigger blockchain platforms by a variety of users. At the moment, creating zero-knowledge proofs is complex and requires large computation power. There is ongoing research to improve its cryptographic algorithms for simpler and more efficient implementations.

There are several use cases across industries where zero-knowledge proofs along with blockchain can play a pivotal role. The privacy requirements across electronic health record management, fraud analytics, voting, banking systems, and more, can be well addressed through zero-knowledge proof solutions in ways that are compliant with the relevant privacy or secrecy laws. In future, as this technology matures, it can also be utilized for secure communications, credential-less authentication, Internet of Things (IoT) security, complex financial products, and in many other areas.
Conclusion

Over the past few years, the zero-knowledge proofs construct has evolved from being a rare cryptographic tool scarcely understood by enterprises or those outside of the research community into a useful technology that is gaining traction in the blockchain community. Non-interactive zero-knowledge proofs are becoming a popular blockchain solution to address privacy concerns and scalability requirements. Advancements in the field of cryptography will create additional blockchain applications where zero-knowledge proofs can be leveraged to address a variety of use cases across different industry segments.
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